Ethiopia

Central Statistical Agency, Ministry of Finance and Economic Development

Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1995-1996

Study Documentation

December 30, 2010

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Central Statistical Agency (CSA) , Ministry of finance and economic Development , Production and documentation of the study International Household Survey Network (IHSN) , Review of the metadata
Production Date	August 15, 2005
Version	Version 1.1: Edited on December 2010.
Identification	DDI-ETH-CSA-HICE-1995-v1.1

This document was generated using the

Table of Contents

Ethiopia (1995-1996)
Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1995-1996 (HICE 1995-1996)

Overview

Type	Income/Expenditure/Household Survey [hh/ies]
Identification	ETH-CSA-HICE-1995-v1.1
Version	Version 1.1: Edited and non anonymized dataset, for internal use only.

Abstract

In recent years, the need for comprehensive economic statistics has been growing rapidly in most developing countries in view of the use of such statistics in formulating socio-economic development plans in general, and to assess the socio-economic situation at the micro level, in particular. Thus, reliable and timely economic statistics data at the household level such as the ones obtained from Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Surveys, on a regular basis are the major sources of socio-economic information. These surveys provide valuable data, especially for assessment of the impact of policies on the conditions and levels of living of households. In this survey, data were collected on basic population characteristics; consumption of food, drinks and tobacco; expenditure of the household on various consumption and non-consumption items; and household income and receipts. The data collection exercise took into account the two major seasons of the country, i.e., the slack/wet season and the peak/dry (harvest) season. It is a well known fact that surveys of Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure usually have the major goal of providing basic data needed for policy making purposes as well as other related issues that might arise at the micro level.

The major objectives of the survey are to:
- Provide data on the levels, distribution and pattern of household income, consumption and expenditure that will be used for analysis of changes in the levels of living standards of households over time in various socio-economic groups and geographical areas.
- Obtained information for the formulation of socio-economic plans and policies.
- Furnish bench mark data for assessing the impact of existing or proposed socio-economic programs on household living conditions.
- Provide data for compiling household accounts in the system of national accounts, especially in the estimation of private consumption expenditure.
- Obtain weights and other useful information for the construction of consumer price indices at various levels.

Kind of Data	Sample survey data [ssd]
Unit of Analysis	- Households - Individuals - Consumption expenditure item

Scope & Coverage

Scope

The scope of Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey includes:
- Household characteristics: Covered household member information like, sex, age, educational status, marital status and work status of household members aged 10 years and above.
- Household income, consumption and expenditure information by source.

Topics	consumption/consumer behaviour [1.1]

Geographic Coverage

The 1995-1996 Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey covered all parts of the country on sample basis except the non sedentary population in Afar and Somali regions.

Universe
The survey covered all households in the selected sample areas excluding residents of collective quarters, homeless persons and foreigner.

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Central Statistical Agency, Ministry of Finance and Economic Development
Funding Agency/ies	Government of Ethiopia (GoE)

Sampling

Sampling Procedure
SAMPLE DESIGN:
The 1995-1996 Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey covered both urban and rural parts of the country, except six zones in Somalie region and two zones in Afar region. For the purpose of the survey, the country was divided into four categories. Urban areas were divided into twp broad categories taking into account sizes of their population. Rural areas were also grouped into two categories.

Category I:
Rural parts of eight regions were grouped in this category each of which was the survey domain (reporting level). These regions are Tigray, Afar, Somali, Benishangul-Gumz, Gambela, Harari, Addis Ababa and Dire Dawa.

Category II:
In this category thirteen survey domains were defined by grouping contiguous rural parts of the zones or special weredas in Amhara, Oromiya, and SNNP Regions respectively. These were:
a) Amhara
I) North Gonder, South Gonder
II) East Gojam, West Gojam and Agew Awi
III) North Welo and Wag Himra, and
IV) South Welo, Oromiya and North Shoa

b) Oromiya
I) East Wellega, and Wellega
II) Ilubabor and Jimma
III) North Shoa, West Shoa
IV) East Shoa, Arsi, Bale and Borena, and
V) East and West Hararge

c) SNNP
I) Keficho-Shekicho, Bench-Maji and Yem,
II) North Omo, South Omo, Derashe and Konso,
III) Gurage, Hadiya and Kembata-Alaba-Timbaro, and
IV) Sidama, Gedio, Amaro and Burji. Other than the 13 domains (reporting levels) defined in Category II, three additional domains could be constructed by combining basic domains from the two rural categories. These domains are:
a) Rural Amhara
b) Rural Oromiya and
c) Rural SNNP

Category III: Ten large urban centers of the country were grouped in this category. Each of the ten urban centers in this category was the survey domain (reporting level), for which separate survey results for major survey characteristics were reported.

Category IV:
Urban centers in the country other than the ten urban centers in category III were grouped in this category and formed a single reporting level.

Other than the eleven domains (reporting levels) defined in Category III and Category IV, one additional domain, namely total urban (country level) can be constructed by combining the basic domains defined in the two categories.

All in all twenty four basic rural domains (reporting levels) including total rural (country level) were defined for the survey.

In addition to the above urban rural domains, survey results are to be reported at regional and country levels by aggregating the survey results for the corresponding urban and rural area.

Definition of the survey domains was based on both technical and resource considerations. More specifically, sample sizes for the domains were determined to enable provision of major indicators with reasonable precision subject to the resources that were available for the survey.

The sample selection scheme and sample size issues are discussed as follows:
a) Category I and Category II:
A stratified two-stage sample design was used to select the sample in which the Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) were enumeration areas (EAs). Sample EAs from each domain were selected using systematic probability proportional to size; size being number of households obtained form 1994 population and housing census. A total of 620 EAs were selected from the rural part. Within each sample EA a fresh list of household was prepared at the beginning of the survey's filed work and for the administration of the survey questionnaire 12 households per sample EA were systematically selected.

b) Category III:
Stratified two-stage sample design was used to select the sample in which the PSUs were EAs. Sample EAs from each domain were selected using systematic probability proportional to size; size being number of household obtained form the 1994 population and housing census. In this category, a total of 220 EAs were selected. Within each sample EA, fresh list of households was prepared at the beginning of the survey's field work and for the administration of the survey questionnaire 15 households per sample EA were systematically selected.

c) Category IV:
Three-stage stratified sample design was adopted to select the sample from domains in category IV. The PSUs were urban centers selected using systematic probability proportional to size; size being number of households obtained form the 1994 population and housing census. The secondary sampling units (SSUs) were EAs which were selected using systematic probability proportion to size; size being number of households obtained form the 1994 population and housing census. Number of sample SSUs selected from each of the the sample urban centers was determined by proportional allocation to their household population from the census. Ultimately, 15 households within each of the sample EAs were selected systematically from a fresh list of households prepared at the beginning of the survey's field work the administration of the survey questionnaire.

Note: Distribution of sample units by domain (reporting levels) is given in Summary Tables A and B (first round) and Summary Tables C and D (second round) of 1995 Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey report which is provided as external resource.

<u>Response Rate</u>
A total of 943 enumeration areas (EAs) were selected to be covered in each round of the survey in all regions. Nevertheless, due to various factors beyond the control of the CSA, 51 and 14 EAs were closed in the first and second rounds of the survey respectively, Thus, the survey succeeded to cover 572 EAs in the rural and 320 EAs in the urban areas in the first round and 606 EAs in the rural and 323 EAs in the urban areas in the second round. In each round the survey was conducted on the basis of 12 rural and 15 urban households selected in each EA and in total the survey covered 23,369 households.

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	First round: start 1995-06-11 First round: end 1995-07-07 Second round: start 1995-12 Second round: end 1996-01
Data Collection Mode	Face-to-face [f2f]

Data Collection Notes

Pilot Survey:
The survey, which has been conducted in rural and urban areas simultaneously, was one of the huge undertakings launched by the Central Statistical Authority (CSA). Thus, it was felt from its very inception that a pilot study should be conducted in order to test the survey instruments, the reaction of respondents and the different technical, administrative and logistics requirements of the main survey.

To this end, a pilot study has been conducted in Addis Ababa and in some rural enumeration areas of Oromiya for a period of one month (15 March 1995 to 14 April 1995). A total of fifteen enumeration areas, ten in Addis Ababa and five in the mentioned rural areas were covered by the pilot study. Some 100 rural and urban households were interviewed in this test. In general, this exercise enabled the Authority to make some important improvements of the final survey document and to assess and allocate the necessary technical as well as administrative and logistics support needed for the survey.

Training:
The next step after the pilot study was the training of the field staff for the main survey, which was carried out in two phases. During the first phase, training on the survey instruments, i.e., survey questionnaires, instruction manual, etc., was given for about 70 professional and sub-professional staff at the head office. The first phase of the training lasted for ten days. These staff served as trainers of enumerators and supervisors. The second phase of the training was conducted in 14 branch statistical offices. It involved the training of about 1000 enumerators and 200 field supervisors and this training lasted for about 20 days.

Field work:
The field work of the first round Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey started on the 11th of June and covered 572 rural and 320 urban enumeration areas. The first round data collection was completed during the fist week of August 1995.

The field work of the second round of this survey was carried out in December 1995 and January 1996 and covered more or less those same enumeration sites and households both in rural and urban areas.

In most of the sample units' data were collected from 12 households in each rural sample EA and 15 households from each urban sample EA. The interview method and objective measurement of household consumption items were utilized throughout the survey period.

In rural areas data were collected in such a way that the 12 households selected form each enumeration area was grouped into two, each group consisting of six households. The first six households are interviewed over a period four weeks. The enumerator visited two households daily so that each household is interviewed twice a week and eight times during the one month period in each round.

In the case of urban centers, the 15 households were also grouped into two. That is, seven households were interviewed during the first four weeks while the remaining eight households were interviewed during the following four weeks. The workload distribution of the enumerators in the urban centers was to interview a maximum of three households per day. As in the rural case, here too, each sample household was interviewed twice weekly, i.e., eight times monthly in each round. It is believed that the relatively frequently visits made by the enumerator to each household was essential to control the errors arising from memory lapse which is common in household surveys of this nature.

<u>Questionnaires</u>
The survey used structured questionnaire that consisted of the following forms:
- Form 1: Household characteristics (list of members, sex, age, marital status, etc)
- Form 2A: Quantity and value of weekly consumption of food, drinks and tobacco for the first and second week
- Form 2B: Quantity and value of weekly consumption of food, drinks and tobacco for the third and fourth week
- Form 3: Consumption expenditure of the household on clothing, headwear, footwear and the like
- Form 4A: Consumption expenditure on housing: House rent and repairs, energy, water for first and second week
- Form 4B: Consumption expenditure on housing: House rent and repairs, energy, water for third and fourth week
- Form 5: Consumption expenditure on household operation and domestic service/ domestic utensils, cleaning items, domestic services, etc
- Form 6A: Household consumption expenditure on services: Health, education, transport and communications, entertainment, etc for the first and second week
- Form 6B: Household consumption expenditure on services: Health, education, transport and communications, entertainment, etc for the third and fourth week
- Form 7A: Household consumption expenditure on personal care and effects and other expenditure for first and second week
- Form 7B: Household consumption expenditure on personal care and effects and other expenditure for third and fourth week
- Form 8: Non-consumption expenditure of households: 'Ekub', 'Edir' payments, remittance given out, purchases of lottery tickets, gambling expenses, etc
- Form 9A: Income received by the household in cash and/or in kind for first and second week
- Form 9B: Income received by the household in cash and/or in Kind for third and fourth week

Note: The survey questionnaire is provided as external resource.

Data Collector(s)	Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia (CSA) , Ministry of Finance and Economic Development

<u>Supervision</u>
Field Supervision:
The field supervision activity of the 1995-1996 HICES was launched right after the first round data collection was started. The supervision was designed in such a way as to closely monitor the data collection activities, facilitate the enumeration process and ensure that the data collection takes place according to the instruction. In addition to this regular supervision throughout the two-round survey periods, coordinators in most cases professionals, equipped with a thorough understanding of the subject matter, have closely observed the quality of data collection on the spot even during the interview period. Furthermore, a team of experts from the Head Office have visited all Branch Offices and some urban and rural enumeration areas to get a first hand impression of the whole activity of the field work.

Data Processing & Appraisal

<u>Data Editing</u>
Data Processing:
A task force comprising of subject matter specialists and data processing experts was formed to oversee the data processing and analysis activities of the surveys starting from August 1995. Manual Data Editing: After the completion of the first round data collection and the filled-out questionnaires were returned from the field, the task force embarked on the first stage of data processing activity, i.e., manual editing, coding and verification. With the utilization of experienced editors and verifiers, the editing, coding and verification of the questionnaires have taken the most part of three months after which data entry was started.

Data Entry:
For the data entry activity, the Integrated Microcomputer Processing System (IMPS) software was used throughout. To speed up this process, experienced data entry operators from the 1994 Population and Housing Census were used and the data entry activity was completed in December 1995. The survey data collected

during the second round (December 1995 / January 1996) have also passed through all the data processing activities stated above for the first round.

Data Reformatting:
After the data entry of both rounds has been completed, the next step in the data processing activity was to merge these data. Mention has to be made here that the merging of the two round, data was a difficult and challenging task.

However, with the help of a short consultancy service from Statistics Norway, the merging was successfully completed in May 1996, after which data cleaning, detailed and thorough consistency checking were done. In fact, the data cleaning and the consistency checking, which were important for the generation of the final tabular reports, were done both manually and by computer.

The whole data set was thoroughly cleaned and the consistency checking was completed slightly behind schedule due to unforeseen problems encountered during the production of the tabular reports.

Estimates of Sampling Error
Details of the estimation procedures, standard errors and coefficients of variations of selected variables is presented in Annex I of the 1995-1996 Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey report which is provided as external resources.

Accessibility

Access Authority	Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia (Ministry of Finance and Economic Development) , http://www.csa.gov.et , csa@csa.gov.et
Contact(s)	Data Administrator (Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia) , http://www.csa.gov.et , data@csa.gov.et

Access Conditions
The Central Statistical Agency (CSA) is committed to achieving excellence in the provision of timely, reliable and affordable official statistics for informed decision making in order to maximize the welfare of all Ethiopians. This is achieved through the collection and analysis of censuses, surveys and the use of administrative data as well as the dissemination a range of statistical products and providing assistance and services to users.

A microdata dissemination policy is established by CSA to address the conditions and the manner in which anonymized microdata files may be released to users for research purposes. It also strives to identify the different levels of anonymization for different categories of data use. This policy is available at CSA website (www.csa.gov.et <http://www.csa.gov.et>).

CSA will release microdata files for use by researchers for scientific research purposes when:
The Director General is satisfied that all reasonable steps have been taken to prevent the identification of individual respondents
The release of the data will substantially enhance the analytic value of the data that have been collected
For all but purely public files, researchers disclose the nature and objectives of their intended research,
It can be demonstrated that there are no credible alternative sources for these data, and
The researchers have signed an appropriate undertaking.

Terms and conditions of use of public data files are the following:
The data and other materials provided by CSA will not be redistributed or sold to other individuals, institutions, or organizations without the written agreement of CSA.
The data will be used for statistical and scientific research purposes only. They will be used solely for reporting of aggregated information, and not for investigation of specific individuals or organizations.
No attempt will be made to re-identify respondents, and no use will be made of the identity of any person or establishment discovered inadvertently. Any such discovery would immediately be reported to the CSA.
No attempt will be made to produce links among datasets provided by CSA, or among data from the CSA and other datasets that could identify individuals or organizations.

Any books, articles, conference papers, theses, dissertations, reports, or other publications that employ data obtained from CSA will cite the source of data in accordance with the Citation Requirement provided with each dataset.
An electronic copy of all reports and publications based on the requested data will be sent to CSA.
The original collector of the data, CSA, and the relevant funding agencies bear no responsibility for use of the data or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

Cost Recovery Policy:
It is the policy of CSA to encourage broad use of its products by making them affordable for users. Accordingly, CSA attempts to ensure that the costs of creating anonymized microdata files are built-in to the survey budget.
At the same time, CSA attempts to recover costs associated with the provisions of special services that benefit only a specific group. Information on the price of each dataset is available at CSA website (www.csa.gov.et <http://www.csa.gov.et>).

Citation Requirements
The following statement must be used as citation:
"Central Statistical Authority of Ethiopia (CSA). Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1995-1996 (HIES 1995)"

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer
The user of the data acknowledges that the original collector of the data, the authorized distributor of the data, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for use of the data or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

| Copyright | (c) 1995, Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia |

Files Description

Dataset contains 2 file(s)

Household characterstics data (form1)	
# Cases	61780
# Variable(s)	25
File Content This dataset contains household characteristics and employment status information of the household members. The dataset is at individual level.	
Producer Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia	
Version Version 1.1: In this version of the dataset nominal variables are labeled and available variable documentation information is given.	
Notes New id is created by taking Killil, Zone, Wereda, Town, Kefetegna, Kebele and enumeration area.	

Household item data	
# Cases	1070000
# Variable(s)	21
File Content This dataset contains household income, consumption and expenditure information at commodity level.	
Producer Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia.	
Version Version 1.1: In this version of the dataset nominal variables are labeled and available variable documentation information is given.	

Variables List

Dataset contains 46 variable(s)

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idst	Stratum	discrete	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Stratum
2	rururb	Rural/urban	discrete	numeric-1.0	61780	0	Rural/urban
3	round	Round	discrete	numeric-1.0	61780	0	Round
4	id	Identification from killil to enumeration area	discrete	numeric-15.0	61780	0	Identification from killil to enumeration area
5	killil	Killil	discrete	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Killil
6	zone	Zone	discrete	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Zone
7	wereda	Wereda	discrete	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Wereda
8	town	Town	discrete	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Town
9	keft	Kefetegna	discrete	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Kefet/We
10	kebele	Kebele	discrete	numeric-3.0	61780	0	Kebele/P.A
11	ea	Enumeration area	discrete	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Enumeration area code
12	srselhh	Serial number of selected households	discrete	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Serial number of selected households
13	hhsize	Household size	discrete	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Household size
14	serialno	Serial number for household members	continuous	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Serial number for household members
15	relation	Relationship to head of household	discrete	numeric-1.0	61780	0	Relationship to head of household
16	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	61780	0	Sex
17	age	Age	continuous	numeric-2.0	61780	0	Age (in full years)
18	educleve	Educational status	discrete	numeric-1.0	53137	8643	Educational status
19	maritals	Marital status	discrete	numeric-1.0	43779	18001	Marital status
20	work	Engaged in production work the last 7days (urban)/12months (rural)	discrete	numeric-1.0	43634	18146	Have you been engaged in production work (7 days for urban and 12 months for rural)?
21	reasnw	Reason for not working	discrete	numeric-1.0	19863	41917	Reason for not working
22	occup	Occupation during the last 7days(urban)/12months(rura	discrete	numeric-2.0	23902	37878	What was your occupation during the last 7 days (urban HH) or 12 months (rural HH)?
23	industry	Type of industry	discrete	numeric-1.0	23869	37911	Type of industry
24	employ	Type of employment	discrete	numeric-1.0	23829	37951	Type of employment
25	wgt	Household Weight	continuous	numeric-7.2	61780	0	Weight

File Household item data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idst	Stratum	discrete	numeric-2.0	1069999	1	Stratum
2	rururb	Rural/urban	discrete	numeric-1.0	1070000	0	Rural/urban
3	round	Round	discrete	numeric-1.0	1070000	0	Round

File Household item data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	id	Identification from killil to enumeration area	discrete	numeric-15.0	1070000	0	Identification from killil to enumeration area
5	killil	Killil	discrete	numeric-2.0	1070000	0	Killil
6	zone	Zone	discrete	numeric-2.0	1070000	0	Zone
7	wereda	Wereda	discrete	numeric-2.0	1070000	0	Wereda
8	town	Town	discrete	numeric-2.0	1070000	0	Town
9	kefte	Kefetegna	discrete	numeric-2.0	1070000	0	Kefet/We
10	kebele	Kebele	discrete	numeric-3.0	1070000	0	Kebele/P.A
11	ea	Enumeration area	discrete	numeric-2.0	1070000	0	Enumeration area code
12	srselhh	Serial number of selected households	continuous	numeric-2.0	1070000	0	Serial number of selected households
13	item_c	Items of household receipts and expenditures	discrete	numeric-5.0	1070000	0	List of items
14	source	Source	discrete	numeric-1.0	1070000	0	Source of expenditure
15	unit	Unit of measurement	discrete	numeric-2.0	1070000	0	Unit of measurment
16	expend	Household expenditure	continuous	numeric-8.2	1070000	0	Household expenditure
17	quant	quantity of the item	continuous	numeric-9.0	1070000	0	Quantity of item
18	income	Household income	continuous	numeric-9.2	1070000	0	Household income
19	reciept	Household reciept	continuous	numeric-9.2	1070000	0	Household reciept
20	payment	Household payment	continuous	numeric-8.2	1070000	0	Household payment
21	wgt	Household weight	continuous	numeric-7.2	1070000	0	Weight

Variables Description

Dataset contains46 variable(s)

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#1 idst: Stratum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-21] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=9.112 /-] [StdDev=4.68 /-]
Literal question	Stratum

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		3126	5.1%
2		1878	3.0%
3		3412	5.5%
4		3382	5.5%
5		2973	4.8%
6		3638	5.9%
7		3715	6.0%
8		3437	5.6%
9		8864	14.3%
10		4035	6.5%
11		9999	16.2%
12		1098	1.8%
13		878	1.4%
14		1989	3.2%
15		2108	3.4%
16		2236	3.6%
17		2153	3.5%
18		771	1.2%
19		718	1.2%
20		725	1.2%
21		645	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 rururb: Rural/urban

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 / 52689065.5] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Rural/urban

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Rural	37753	45065476.2	85.5%
2	Urban	24027	7623589.3	14.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 round: Round

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Round

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#3 round: Round

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Round one (June/July)	1922	3.1%
2	Round two (December/January)	59858	96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 id: Identification from killil to enumeration area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10106888800403-150102888802404] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 / 52689065.5] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Identification from killil to enumeration area

#5 killil: Killil

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 / 52689065.5] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Killil

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Tigray	3742	3633091.7	6.9%
2	Afar	936	209241.7	0.4%
3	Amhara	13656	14555557.6	27.6%
4	Oromia	19639	19869320.8	37.7%
5	Somalie	1335	768539.6	1.5%
6	Benishangul-Gumuz	982	408008.3	0.8%
7	SNNPR	9584	10872148.5	20.6%
12	Gambela	962	87485.6	0.2%
13	Harari	1802	144702.2	0.3%
14	Addis Ababa	7065	1856054.6	3.5%
15	Dire Dawa	2077	284914.9	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 zone: Zone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Zone

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		11394	18.4%
2		7380	11.9%
3		6672	10.8%
4		7826	12.7%
5		6931	11.2%
6		4661	7.5%
7		6418	10.4%
8		1931	3.1%
9		2639	4.3%
10		3043	4.9%
11		961	1.6%
12		1223	2.0%

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#6 zone: Zone

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13		144	0.2%
14		121	0.2%
15		270	0.4%
16		166	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#7 wereda: Wereda

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-36] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Wereda

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		7388	12.0%
2		4441	7.2%
3		5891	9.5%
4		4526	7.3%
5		4455	7.2%
6		4488	7.3%
7		1777	2.9%
8		1713	2.8%
9		3334	5.4%
10		1919	3.1%
11		1922	3.1%
12		1819	2.9%
13		1490	2.4%
14		1603	2.6%
15		1369	2.2%
16		1188	1.9%
17		1807	2.9%
18		925	1.5%
19		2771	4.5%
20		616	1.0%
21		419	0.7%
22		880	1.4%
23		1155	1.9%
24		1654	2.7%
25		732	1.2%
26		381	0.6%
27		417	0.7%
28		300	0.5%
31		68	0.1%
34		272	0.4%
36		60	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#8 town: Town

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 / 52689065.5] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Town
Notes	It is possible to extract urban/rural information form this variable in the following way: If the value of this variable (town) is from 1-5, then the household belongs to urban area. If the value of this variable (town) is from 88, then the household belongs to rural area.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	1	18832	6252122.0		11.9%
2	2	3149	849171.8		1.6%
3	3	113	92073.3		0.2%
4	4	1556	268651.7		0.5%
5	5	377	161570.4		0.3%
88	88	37753	45065476.2		85.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 keft: Kefetegna

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Kefet/We

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		11236		18.2%
2		3569		5.8%
3		3104		5.0%
4		832		1.3%
5		275		0.4%
6		216		0.3%
7		211		0.3%
8		297		0.5%
9		195		0.3%
10		197		0.3%
11		232		0.4%
12		144		0.2%
13		156		0.3%
14		128		0.2%
15		241		0.4%
16		260		0.4%
17		466		0.8%
18		167		0.3%
19		323		0.5%
20		252		0.4%
21		231		0.4%
22		139		0.2%
23		383		0.6%
24		382		0.6%

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#9 keft: Kefetegna

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25		278	0.4%
28		113	0.2%
88		37753	61.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#10 kebele: Kebele

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-125] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Kebele/P.A

Frequency table not shown (84 Modalities)

#11 ea: Enumeration area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-38] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Enumeration area code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		21695	35.1%
2		14442	23.4%
3		9735	15.8%
4		5919	9.6%
5		3578	5.8%
6		1690	2.7%
7		1343	2.2%
8		864	1.4%
9		743	1.2%
10		358	0.6%
11		111	0.2%
12		320	0.5%
13		382	0.6%
14		162	0.3%
16		142	0.2%
19		60	0.1%
22		151	0.2%
38		85	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 srselhh: Serial number of selected households

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Serial number of selected households

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		4857	7.9%
2		4851	7.9%
3		4744	7.7%

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#12 srselhh: Serial number of selected households

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		4765	7.7%
5		4812	7.8%
6		4783	7.7%
7		4869	7.9%
8		4789	7.8%
9		4785	7.7%
10		4759	7.7%
11		4810	7.8%
12		4126	6.7%
13		1595	2.6%
14		1614	2.6%
15		1621	2.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 hhsize: Household size

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 / 52689065.5] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Household size

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1	541	381877.7	0.7%
2	2	2422	2011796.8	3.8%
3	3	5879	5041825.4	9.6%
4	4	8006	6908023.2	13.1%
5	5	9767	8750310.2	16.6%
6	6	9661	8357939.2	15.9%
7	7	8716	7734120.7	14.7%
8	8	6438	5539760.4	10.5%
9	9	4657	3904140.4	7.4%
10	10	2600	2139651.4	4.1%
11	11	1343	1016317.7	1.9%
12	12	682	376653.0	0.7%
13	13	457	223766.6	0.4%
14	14	255	134646.0	0.3%
15	15	120	53604.6	0.1%
16	16	96	58099.0	0.1%
17	17	37	8669.0	0.0%
19	19	38	20086.6	0.0%
20	20	20	3438.0	0.0%
21	21	21	16153.2	0.0%
24	24	24	8186.4	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#14 serialno: Serial number for household members

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#14 serialno: Serial number for household members

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Serial number for household members

#15 relation: Relationship to head of household

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 / 52689065.5] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	For all household members
Literal question	Relationship to head of household

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Head	12342	10538451.9	20.0%
1	SPOUSE	8508	7897438.3	15.0%
2	Common child	23924	21771725.4	41.3%
3	Child of head	8080	5851898.3	11.1%
4	Child of spouse	591	531466.1	1.0%
5	Brother/sister	1495	929531.9	1.8%
6	Mother.father	655	560294.4	1.1%
7	Other relatives	4770	3742159.2	7.1%
8	Non-relatives	1411	862102.5	1.6%
9	Nr	4	3997.5	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#16 sex: Sex

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 / 52689065.5] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	For all household members
Literal question	Sex

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		1	396.1	0.0%
1	Male	29868	26246019.1	49.8%
2	Female	31911	26442650.2	50.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#17 age: Age

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 / 52689065.5] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=21.962 / 21.448] [StdDev=18.043 / 18.346]
Universe	For all household members
Literal question	Age (in full years)

#18 educleve: Educational status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=53137 / 44276595.49] [Invalid=8643 / 8412470.01]
Universe	For members aged 10 years and above
Literal question	Educational status

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#18 educleve: Educational status

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Illiterate	32809	33934797.5	76.6%
2	Grade 1-3	6554	4763442.3	10.8%
3	Grade 4-6	5179	2631513.9	5.9%
4	Grade 7-8	3097	1225207.9	2.8%
5	Grade 9-11	2395	759546.8	1.7%
6	Grade 12 Complete	2223	617606.3	1.4%
7	Grade 12 +	310	84435.4	0.2%
8	University/college	453	115892.9	0.3%
9	Nr	117	144152.6	0.3%
Sysmiss		8643	8412470.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#19 maritals: Marital status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=43779 / 35711356.99] [Invalid=18001 / 16977708.51]
Universe	For members aged 10 years and above
Literal question	Marital status

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Single	20427	14899802.1	41.7%
2	Married	18383	17023073.4	47.7%
3	Divorced	1935	1576068.5	4.4%
4	Separeted	535	366667.0	1.0%
5	Died	2465	1808990.8	5.1%
9	Nr	34	36755.2	0.1%
Sysmiss		18001	16977708.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#20 work: Engaged in production work the last 7days (urban)/12months (rural)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=43634 / 35684407.23] [Invalid=18146 / 17004658.27]
Universe	For members aged 10 years and above
Literal question	Have you been engaged in production work (7 days for urban and 12 months for rural)?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	23630	22244935.5	62.3%
2	No	19732	13113289.8	36.7%
9	Nr	272	326182.0	0.9%
Sysmiss		18146	17004658.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#21 reasnw: Reason for not working

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19863 / 13419201.66] [Invalid=41917 / 39269863.84]
Universe	For members aged 10 years and above
Literal question	Reason for not working

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#21 reasnw: Reason for not working

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Unemployed	1873	516065.1		3.8%
2	Student	6986	3131278.6		23.3%
3	Domestic service	7723	7165371.0		53.4%
4	Penisioner	404	115767.9		0.9%
5	Old	1071	818841.0		6.1%
6	Handicap	625	498109.0		3.7%
7	Other reason	835	750591.9		5.6%
9	Nr	346	423177.2		3.2%
Sysmiss		41917	39269863.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#22 occup: Occupation during the last 7days(urban)/12months(rural)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23902 / 22580127.37] [Invalid=37878 / 30108938.13]
Universe	For members aged 10 years and above
Pre-question	For members who answered 'YES' in column 20
Literal question	What was your occupation during the last 7 days (urban HH) or 12 months (rural HH)?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Legislators	137	38695.6		0.2%
2	Proffesionals	160	41127.3		0.2%
3	Technisians	509	180150.1		0.8%
4	Clerks	413	112313.5		0.5%
5	Service workers	4766	2142900.7		9.5%
6	Farmers	15913	18878350.5		83.6%
7	Craft	1303	677179.9		3.0%
8	Plant operators	326	91242.1		0.4%
9	Armed forces	27	9359.6		0.0%
99	NR	348	408808.0		1.8%
Sysmiss		37878	30108938.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#23 industry: Type of industry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23869 / 22572888.2] [Invalid=37911 / 30116177.3]
Universe	For members aged 10 years and above
Pre-question	For members who answered 'YES' in column 20
Literal question	Type of industry

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Nr	279	333872.9		1.5%
1	Agriculture	15516	18288382.2		81.0%
2	Mining/quarrying	101	73000.3		0.3%
3	Manufacturing	957	516845.5		2.3%
4	Electricity gas	87	36812.6		0.2%

File Household characterstics data (form1)

#23 industry: Type of industry

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	Construction	399	164577.1	0.7%
6	Trade	3199	1603440.5	7.1%
7	Transport	331	79570.7	0.4%
8	Banking	50	14083.5	0.1%
9	Other services	2950	1462302.9	6.5%
Sysmiss		37911	30116177.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#24 employ: Type of employment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23829 / 22483861.1] [Invalid=37951 / 30205204.4]
Universe	For members aged 10 years and above
Pre-question	For members who answered 'YES' in column 20
Literal question	Type of employment

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		280	336141.2	1.5%
1	Employer	499	493104.9	2.2%
2	Employee	4130	2014045.7	9.0%
3	Self employed	9084	8339657.1	37.1%
4	Family worker	9176	10671304.8	47.5%
5	Other	52	33584.4	0.1%
9	Nr	608	596023.0	2.7%
Sysmiss		37951	30205204.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#25 wgt: Household Weight

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.8-7546.03] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61780 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Weight

File Household item data

#1 idst: Stratum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-21] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1069999 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]
Literal question	Stratum

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		55871	5.2%
2		32583	3.0%
3		47941	4.5%
4		48614	4.5%
5		45750	4.3%
6		64606	6.0%
7		72212	6.7%

File Household item data

#1 idst: Stratum

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		65633	6.1%
9		165105	15.4%
10		70762	6.6%
11		206801	19.3%
12		7622	0.7%
13		13921	1.3%
14		30438	2.8%
15		32091	3.0%
16		36775	3.4%
17		34785	3.3%
18		11873	1.1%
19		11791	1.1%
20		9378	0.9%
21		5447	0.5%
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 rururb: Rural/urban

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Rural/urban

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Rural	565924	718244889.3	80.9%
2	Urban	504076	169130329.8	19.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 round: Round

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Round

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Round one (June/July)	525700	49.1%
2	Round two (December/January)	544300	50.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 id: Identification from killil to enumeration area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10106888800403-150102888802404] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Identification from killil to enumeration area

#5 killil: Killil

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Killil

File Household item data

#5 killil: Killil

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Tigray	68579	55869866.0		6.3%
2	Afar	16272	4324101.9		0.5%
3	Amhara	209620	219143279.6		24.7%
4	Oromia	374062	364509736.1		41.1%
5	Somalie	12211	9701938.2		1.1%
6	Benishangul-Gumuz	17447	6891795.4		0.8%
7	SNNPR	157517	181780280.1		20.5%
12	Gambela	14662	1392642.7		0.2%
13	Harari	34663	3015780.8		0.3%
14	Addis Ababa	131480	36074750.0		4.1%
15	Dire Dawa	33487	4671048.2		0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 zone: Zone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Zone

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		189859		17.7%
2		117687		11.0%
3		109906		10.3%
4		145822		13.6%
5		138351		12.9%
6		77632		7.3%
7		122771		11.5%
8		38687		3.6%
9		39000		3.6%
10		50131		4.7%
11		13453		1.3%
12		18332		1.7%
13		1035		0.1%
14		1776		0.2%
15		3733		0.3%
16		1825		0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#7 wereda: Wereda

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-36] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Wereda

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		129985		12.1%
2		73988		6.9%

File Household item data

#7 wereda: Wereda

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		110346	10.3%
4		73639	6.9%
5		73138	6.8%
6		76143	7.1%
7		29272	2.7%
8		28951	2.7%
9		59457	5.6%
10		31248	2.9%
11		30482	2.8%
12		27545	2.6%
13		22376	2.1%
14		33053	3.1%
15		22598	2.1%
16		22629	2.1%
17		30564	2.9%
18		13817	1.3%
19		52253	4.9%
20		9822	0.9%
21		8150	0.8%
22		19665	1.8%
23		23224	2.2%
24		27893	2.6%
25		11831	1.1%
26		7558	0.7%
27		6863	0.6%
28		5657	0.5%
31		1485	0.1%
34		5939	0.6%
36		429	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 town: Town

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Town
Notes	It is possible to extract urban/rural information form this variable in the following way: If the value of this variable (town) is from 1-5, then the household belongs to urban area. If the value of this variable (town) is from 88, then the household belongs to rural area.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1	387871	139354523.6	15.7%
2	2	68668	17783510.1	2.0%
3	3	2295	1830831.2	0.2%
4	4	34780	5667675.2	0.6%
5	5	10462	4493789.5	0.5%

File Household item data

#8 town: Town

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
88	88	565924	718244889.3		80.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 kefte: Kefetegna

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Kefet/We

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		246409		23.0%
2		77197		7.2%
3		63898		6.0%
4		18306		1.7%
5		4149		0.4%
6		3092		0.3%
7		3480		0.3%
8		4733		0.4%
9		2825		0.3%
10		4418		0.4%
11		4817		0.5%
12		2837		0.3%
13		3607		0.3%
14		3093		0.3%
15		4253		0.4%
16		5670		0.5%
17		8893		0.8%
18		2876		0.3%
19		5899		0.6%
20		4443		0.4%
21		4886		0.5%
22		3057		0.3%
23		6933		0.6%
24		6301		0.6%
25		5060		0.5%
28		2944		0.3%
88		565924		52.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#10 kebele: Kebele

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-125] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Kebele/P.A

Frequency table not shown (84 Modalities)

File Household item data

#11 ea: Enumeration area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-38] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Enumeration area code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		352681	33.0%
2		242640	22.7%
3		175183	16.4%
4		107300	10.0%
5		66080	6.2%
6		34577	3.2%
7		26042	2.4%
8		16901	1.6%
9		15156	1.4%
10		7186	0.7%
11		2118	0.2%
12		6420	0.6%
13		6218	0.6%
14		2491	0.2%
16		2582	0.2%
19		1730	0.2%
22		3253	0.3%
38		1442	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 srselhh: Serial number of selected households

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Serial number of selected households

#13 item_c: Items of household receipts and expenditures

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95098] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	List of items

Frequency table not shown (1017 Modalities)

#14 source: Source

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Source of expenditure

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		11	2894.2	0.0%
1	Receipts from household's agricultural enterprise	397047	496811349.7	56.0%
2	Receipts from household's non agricultural enterprise	241954	145151564.7	16.4%

File Household item data

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	Wages and salary	243192	88763532.0	10.0%
4	Receipts from rent/house, machinery, etc/	30426	22628778.9	2.6%
5	Deposit, etc.	14645	12907332.4	1.5%
6	Share profit	172	145421.7	0.0%
7	Gift or/and obtained free	118129	102417897.7	11.5%
8	Other receipts	24128	18252302.2	2.1%
9	Nr	296	294145.6	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#15 unit: Unit of measurement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-32] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Unit of measurment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Cash	186057	17.4%
1	Gram	460119	43.0%
2	Centimeter	7399	0.7%
3	CC (Cubic centimeter)	108233	10.1%
4	Number	200200	18.7%
5	Dozen	0	0.0%
6	Pair	21822	2.0%
7	Box	15285	1.4%
8	Visit	3810	0.4%
9	Tablet	8783	0.8%
10	Capsul	2741	0.3%
11	Roll	1664	0.2%
12	Pack	873	0.1%
13	Month	24709	2.3%
14	Tuba	158	0.0%
15	Tit	79	0.0%
16	Araba	278	0.0%
17	Trip	10405	1.0%
18	Ticket	9997	0.9%
19	Kilowatt Hour	7388	0.7%
20	Kilogram	0	0.0%
21	Service	0	0.0%
22	Period	0	0.0%
23	Words	0	0.0%
24	Year	0	0.0%
25	Page	0	0.0%
26	Minit	0	0.0%
27	Day	0	0.0%
28	Call	0	0.0%
29	Likakit	0	0.0%

File Household item data

#15 unit: Unit of measurement

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	Frequency	0	0.0%
31	CM2	0	0.0%
32	M2	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#16 expend: Household expenditure

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-95280] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=72.154 / 65.925] [StdDev=257.871 / 262.125]
Literal question	Household expenditure

#17 quant: quantity of the item

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-235800000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=390074.653 / 396277.811] [StdDev=3288398.747 / 3047176.78]
Literal question	Quantity of item

#18 income: Household income

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-650570] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=75.111 / 67.227] [StdDev=1681.819 / 1220.176]
Literal question	Household income

#19 reciept: Household reciept

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-800000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=85.403 / 73.405] [StdDev=2148.828 / 1383.016]
Literal question	Household reciept

#20 payment: Household payment

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-95280] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 / 887375219.031] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=78.252 / 71.053] [StdDev=278.422 / 277.068]
Literal question	Household payment

#21 wgt: Household weight

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.8-7546.03] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070000 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=829.323 /-] [StdDev=766.767 /-]
Literal question	Weight

Documentation

Reports and analytical documents

Study Documentation, Central Statistical Agency, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Reports\HICE_1995_1996_Metadata.pdf"

Revised Report on The 1995-1996 Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey, Central Statistical Agency, June 1998, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Reports\Revised report on Household Income Consumption and Expenditure Survey for the year 1995 - 96.pdf"

Description

This is a revised report on the 1995/96 Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey, (HICES), conducted on a sample basis throughout the country. In this report, the principal results of the survey and major tabular reports are presented at country, rural and urban levels. In addition, summary tables of basic characteristics (i.e., distribution of houesholds by domestic expenditure group, distribution of households by income group, average value of expenditure by major expenditure items, average calories intake per person per day by major food and drink groups and total receipts by source) for regions (rual part) and selected urban centers are presented.

Questionnaires

Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1995-1996 - Questionnaire, Central Statistical Agency, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Questionnaires\Questionnaire for Household Income Consumption and Expenditure Survey for the year 1995 - 96.pdf"

Technical documents

Form for Requesting Access to Raw Data, Central Statistical Agency, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Technical\CSA_data_request_form.pdf"

Sample Enumeration Area Code, Central Statistical Agency, December 1995, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Technical\sample_enumeration_area_codes.pdf"

Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1995-1996 - Items Code Book, Central Statistical Agency, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Technical\item_codes.pdf"

Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1995-1996 - Enumerators Manual, Central Statistical Agency, April 1995, Ethiopia [eth], Amharic [amh], "Doc\Technical\HBSMAN.pdf"