

Ethiopia

Central Statistical Agency, Ministry of Finance and Economic Development

**Household Income, Consumption
and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000**

Study Documentation

December 30, 2010

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Central Statistical Agency (CSA) , Ministry of finance and economic Development , Production and documentation of the study International Household Survey Network (IHSN) , Review of the metadata
Production Date	August 1, 2005
Version	Version 1.1: Edited on December 2010
Identification	DDI-ETH-CSA-HICE-2000-v1.1

This document was generated using the [IHSN Microdata Management Toolkit](#)

Table of Contents

Overview	1
Scope & Coverage	1
Producers & Sponsors	2
Sampling	2
Data Collection	3
Data Processing & Appraisal	4
Accessibility	5
Rights & Disclaimer	6
Files Description	7
Household characteristics data	7
Household consumption expenditure item data	7
Variables List	8
Household characteristics data	8
Household consumption expenditure item data	8
Variables Description	10
Household characteristics data	10
Household consumption expenditure item data	19
Documentation	26

Ethiopia (1999-2000) Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 (HICE 1999-2000)

Overview	
Type	Income/Expenditure/Household Survey [hh/ies]
Identification	ETH-CSA-HICE-2000-v1.1
Version	Version 1.1: Edited and non anonymized dataset, for internal use only.
<p>Abstract</p> <p>The need for comprehensive economic statistics has been recently growing rapidly in most developing countries in view of the use of such statistics in formulating socio-economic development plans in general, and to assess the socio-economic situation at the household level such as the one obtained from Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey, on a regular basis are the major sources of these data. The survey provides valuable data, especially for assessment of the impact of policies on the conditions and levels of living of households. It is a well known fact that surveys of Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure usually have the major goal of providing basic data needed for the purpose of designing socio-economic policy as well as other related issues that might arise at the micro level.</p> <p>The major objectives of the survey are to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide data on the levels, distribution and pattern of household income, consumption and expenditure that will be used for analysis of changes in the levels of living standards of households over time in various socio-economic groups and geographical areas. - Obtain information for the formulation of socio-economic plans and policies. - Furnish bench mark data for assessing the impact of existing or proposed socio-economic programs on household living conditions. - Provide data for compiling household accounts in the system of national accounts, especially in the estimation of private consumption expenditure. - Obtain weights and other useful information for the construction of consumer price indices at various levels. 	
Kind of Data	Sample survey data [ssd]
Unit of Analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Households - Individuals - Consumption expenditure items

Scope & Coverage

Scope

The scope of Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey includes:

- Household characteristics: Covered household member information like, sex, age, educational status, marital status and work status of household members aged 10 years and above.
- Household income, consumption and expenditure information by source.

Topics

consumption/consumer behaviour [1.1], basic skills education [6.1]

Geographic Coverage

The 1999-2000 Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey covered all parts of the country on sample basis except the non sedentary population in Afar and Somali regions.

Universe

The survey covered all households in the selected sample areas excluding residents of collective quarters, homeless persons and foreigners.

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Central Statistical Agency, Ministry of Finance and Economic Development
Other Producer(s)	
Funding Agency/ies	Government of Ethiopia (GoE) , Funding

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

SAMPLE DESIGN:

The 1999-2000 Household Income, Consumption, and Expenditure Survey covered both the urban the sedentary rural parts of the country. The survey has not covered six zones in Somalia Region and two zones in Afar Region that are inhabited mainly by nomadic population. For the purpose of the survey, the country was divided into three categories. That is, the rural parts of the country and the urban areas that were divided into two broad categories taking into account sizes of their population.

Category I:

Rural parts of nine Regional States and two administrative regions were grouped in this category each of which was the survey domains (reporting levels). These regions are Tigray, Afar, Amhara, Oromia, Somalia, Benishangul-Gumuz, SNNPR, Gambela, Harari, Addis Ababa and Dire Dawa.

Category II:

All regional capitals and five major urban centers of the country were grouped in this category. Each of the urban centers in this category was the survey domain (reporting level) for which separate survey results for major survey characteristics were reported.

Category III: Urban centers in the country other than the urban centers in category II were grouped in this category and formed a single reporting level.

Other than the reporting levels defined in the category II and category III one additional domain, namely total urban (country level) can be constructed by combining the basic domains defined in the two categories. All in all 35 basic rural and urban domains (reporting levels) were defined for the survey. In addition to the above urban and rural domains, survey results are to be reported at regional and country levels by aggregating the survey results for the corresponding urban and rural areas. Definition of the survey domains was based on both technical and resource considerations. More specifically, sample size for the domains were determined to enable provision of major indicators with reasonable precision subject to the resources that were available for the survey.

SELECTION SCHEME AND SAMPLE SIZE IN EACH CATEGORY:

1) Category I:

A stratified two-stage sample design was used to select the sample in which the primary sampling units (PSUs) were EAs. Sample enumeration areas (EAs) from each domain were selected using systematic sampling that is probability proportional to size; size being number of households obtained from the rural parts of the country. Within each sample EA a fresh list of households was prepared at the beginning of the survey's field work and for the administration of the survey questionnaire 12 households per sample EA for rural areas were systematically selected.

2) Category II:

In this category also, a stratified two-stage sample design was used to select the sample. Here a strata constitutes all the "Region State Capitals" and the five "Major Urban Centers" in the country and are grouped as a strata in this category. The primary sampling units (PSUs) are the EA's in the Regional State Capitals and the five major urban centers and excludes the special EAs (non-conventional households). Sample enumeration areas (EAs) from each stratum were selected using systematic sampling probability proportional to size, size being number of households obtained from the 1994 population and housing census. A total of 373 EAs were selected from this

domain of study. Within each sample EAs a fresh list of households was prepared at the beginning of the survey's field work and for the administration of the questionnaire 16 households per sample EA were systematically selected.

3) Category III:

Three-stage stratified sample design was adopted to select the sample from domains in category III. The PSUs were other urban centers selected using systematic sampling that is probability proportional to size; size being number of households obtained from the 1994 population and housing census. The secondary sampling units (SSUs) were EAs which were selected using systematic sampling that is probability proportional to size; size being number of households obtained from the 1994 population and housing census. A total of 169 sample EAs were selected from the sample of other urban centers and was determined by proportional allocation to their size of households from the 1994 census. Ultimately, 16 households within each of the sample EAs were selected systematically from a fresh list of households prepared at the beginning of the survey's fieldwork for the administrator of the survey questionnaire.

Response Rate

With regard to ultimate sampling units, it was planned to cover a total of 17,336 households (8,664 in rural and 8,672 in urban areas) all over the country. The response rate was about 100 percent (99.95 percent or 8,660 households in rural and 100 percent or 8,672 households in urban areas). Only four of the selected rural households in Afar region refused to cooperate in giving responses to the questions asked in the survey.

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	First round data collection (G.C calender): start 1999-06 First round data collection (G.C calender): end 1999-08 Second round data collection (G.C calender): start 1999-11 Second round data collection (G.C calender): end 2000-01
Time Period(s)	G.C calender: start 1999-06-11 G.C calender: end 2000-01-30
Data Collection Mode	Face-to-face [f2f]

Data Collection Notes

Pilot Study:

A pilot study has been conducted in Addis Ababa and in some rural enumeration areas of Oromiya for a period of one month (15 March 1999 - 30 March 1999). A total of seven enumeration areas, ten in Addis Ababa and three in the mentioned rural areas were covered by the pilot study. Some 50 rural and urban households were interviewed in this exercise. In general, this exercise enabled the Authority to make some important improvements to the final survey document and to assess and allocate the necessary technical as well as administrative and logistics support needed for the survey.

Training of field staff:

For this survey the training program for the enumerators, supervisors and other field and office staff was conducted at two stages. The first stage was conducted at the CSA head quarters, in Addis Ababa. The participants were selected from professionals and sub-professionals with long time experiences, branch office heads and their assistants who were to train enumerators and supervisors during the second stage of training conducted at the branch statistical offices.

The training at the head office, which lasted for fifteen days, consisted of theoretical discussions on how to complete the questionnaire as well as practical interview of households and/or household members. The objectives of practical interviewing of households were two fold. Firstly, it enabled to assess how well the theoretical class discussions were understood by all participants so that they could convey the same message to enumerators and supervisors. The second objective was to examine the difficulty which would likely to be encountered during the actual fieldwork.

Thorough discussions in the class room were made after field practice. The discussions were intended to help exchange experiences among participants and pinpoint the areas of the survey questions which need more care and attention. This practical fieldwork was conducted both at the head office and at branch offices in selected areas.

The second stage training was undertaken at the branch statistical offices. The trainers were that professional and sub-professional staffs who was trained at the head office. The second stage training lasted for twenty five days and also consisted of both theoretical class discussions and practical field practice. Furthermore, refreshment training was given for ten days to the enumerators and supervisors before launching the data collection operation in the second round of the survey which was carried out during January - February 2000.

FIELD ORGANIZATION:

All the 22 branch statistical offices of the CSA participated in the survey undertaking, especially in organizing the second stage training, in deploying the field staff to their respective sites of assignment, and retrieving completed questionnaires from the sample sites and submitting them to the head office for data processing. They were also responsible in administering the financial and logistics aspects of the survey within the areas of their assignment. To accomplish the data collection operation, all enumerators were supplied with the necessary equipment (kitchen balance and measuring tape) at the completion of the training.

Questionnaires

The Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey questionnaire contains the following forms:

- Form 1: Area Identification and Household Characteristics
- Form 2A: Quantity and value of weekly consumption of food and drinks consumed at home and tobacco/ including quantity purchased, own produced, obtained, etc for first and second week.
- Form 2B: Quantity and value of weekly consumption of food and drinks consumed at home and tobacco/ including quantity purchased, own produced, obtained, etc for third and fourth week .
- Form 3A: All transaction (income, expenditure and consumption) for the first and second weeks except what is collected in Forms 2A and 2B
- Form 3B: All transaction (income, expenditure and consumption) for the third and fourth weeks except what is collected in Forms 2A and 2B
- Form 4: All transaction (expenditure and consumption) for last 6 months for Household expenditure on some selected item groups
- Form 5: Cash income and receipts received by household and type of tenure. The survey questionnaire is provided as external resource.

Data Collector(s)

Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia (CSA) , Ministry of Finance and Economic Development

Supervision

Field Supervision:

In surveys of this kind, regular supervision is a compulsory component of the whole data collection process. Thus, the field supervision activity of the 1999-2000 HIES has started right after the first round data collection was lunched. During the survey fieldwork, close and regular supervision was undertaken at various levels. During the training period, the management staff from the head office visited all branch statistical offices and discussed the objectives, importance of the survey, the expected quality of the data and some other related issues with supervisors and enumerators. Immediately after the commencement of fieldwork, close supervision was made by supervisors who made spot-checking, re-interviewing and a thorough scrutiny of filled-in questionnaires to ensure that the data collected activities are took place according to the instructions given in the enumerators' instruction manual and during the training. In addition to this, in the process of data collection operation supervision was made by the trainers from the head quarters (senior professional staff) and the branch statistical office heads.

Data Processing & Appraisal

Data Editing

Due to complex nature and magnitude of the survey, CSA has given special attention to the data processing activity. Thus, a task force comprising of subject matter specialists and data processing experts was formed to oversee the data processing and analysis activities of the HIES starting from August 1999. After the completion of

the first round of the survey data collected operation, the filled-in questionnaires were retrieved from the field, the task force embarked on the first stage of data processing activities, i.e. manual editing, coding and verification. Experienced editors-coders and verifiers have been deployed for this activities. Considering the complication of the data collected in this survey the editing, coding and verification of the questionnaires have taken the most part of the three months after which data entry was started.

For the data entry activity, the Integrated Microcomputer Processing System (IMPS) software was used throughout. To speed up this process, experienced data entry operators were used and the data entry activity was completed in December 1999. The survey data collected during the second round (January 1999 - February 2000) have also passed through all the data processing activities stated above for the first round.

After the data entry of both rounds of the survey has been completed, the next step in the data processing activity was to merge the data from the first and the second rounds of the survey. Unlike the 1995-1996 Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey, which was done with the help of a short term consultancy services provided by Statistics Norway, the merging operation of these surveys was successfully completed in October 2000 by the programmers in the Data Processing Department of the CSA, after which data cleaning, detailed and through consistency checking were done. In fact, the data cleaning and the consistency checking, which were important for the generation of the final tabular reports, were done both manually and by computer.

Estimates of Sampling Error

Details of estimation procedures of totals, ratio and sampling errors are presented in APPENDIX I and II of the 1999-2000 Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey report which is provided as external resources.

Accessibility

Access Authority	Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia (Ministry of Finance and Economic Development) , http://www.csa.gov.et , Data@csa.gov.et
Contact(s)	Data Administrator (Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia) , http://www.csa.gov.et , data@csa.gov.et

Access Conditions

The Central Statistical Agency (CSA) is committed to achieving excellence in the provision of timely, reliable and affordable official statistics for informed decision making in order to maximize the welfare of all Ethiopians. This is achieved through the collection and analysis of censuses, surveys and the use of administrative data as well as the dissemination a range of statistical products and providing assistance and services to users.

A microdata dissemination policy is established by CSA to address the conditions and the manner in which anonymized microdata files may be released to users for research purposes. It also strives to identify the different levels of anonymization for different categories of data use. This policy is available at CSA website (www.csa.gov.et <<http://www.csa.gov.et>>).

CSA will release microdata files for use by researchers for scientific research purposes when:

The Director General is satisfied that all reasonable steps have been taken to prevent the identification of individual respondents

The release of the data will substantially enhance the analytic value of the data that have been collected

For all but purely public files, researchers disclose the nature and objectives of their intended research,

It can be demonstrated that there are no credible alternative sources for these data, and

The researchers have signed an appropriate undertaking.

Terms and conditions of use of public data files are the following:

The data and other materials provided by CSA will not be redistributed or sold to other individuals, institutions, or organizations without the written agreement of CSA.

The data will be used for statistical and scientific research purposes only. They will be used solely for reporting of aggregated information, and not for investigation of specific individuals or organizations.

No attempt will be made to re-identify respondents, and no use will be made of the identity of any person or establishment discovered inadvertently. Any such discovery would immediately be reported to the CSA. No attempt will be made to produce links among datasets provided by CSA, or among data from the CSA and other datasets that could identify individuals or organizations. Any books, articles, conference papers, theses, dissertations, reports, or other publications that employ data obtained from CSA will cite the source of data in accordance with the Citation Requirement provided with each dataset. An electronic copy of all reports and publications based on the requested data will be sent to CSA. The original collector of the data, CSA, and the relevant funding agencies bear no responsibility for use of the data or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

Cost Recovery Policy:

It is the policy of CSA to encourage broad use of its products by making them affordable for users. Accordingly, CSA attempts to ensure that the costs of creating anonymized microdata files are built-in to the survey budget. At the same time, CSA attempts to recover costs associated with the provisions of special services that benefit only a specific group. Information on the price of each dataset is available at CSA website (www.csa.gov.et <<http://www.csa.gov.et>>).

Citation Requirements

The following statement must be used as citation:
 "Central Statistical Authority of Ethiopia (CSA). Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 (HICE 2000) "

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer

The user of the data acknowledges that the original collector of the data, the authorized distributor of the data, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for use of the data or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

Copyright

(c) 2000, Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia

Files Description

Dataset contains 2 file(s)

Household characteristics data	
# Cases	82268
# Variable(s)	25
File Content This dataset contains household characteristics and employment status information of the household members. The dataset is at individual level.	
Producer Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia	
Version Version 1.1: In this version of the dataset nominal variables are labeled and available variable documentation information is given.	

Household consumption expenditure item data	
# Cases	1205438
# Variable(s)	21
File Content This dataset contains household income, consumption and expenditure information at commodity level.	
Producer Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia	
Version Version 1.1: In this version of the dataset nominal variables are labeled and available variable documentation information is given.	

Variables List

Dataset contains 46 variable(s)

File Household characteristics data							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idst	Stratum	discrete	numeric-1.0	82268	0	Stratum
2	killil	Killil	discrete	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Killil
3	zone	Zone	discrete	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Zone
4	wereda	Wereda	discrete	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Wereda
5	town	Town	discrete	numeric-1.0	82268	0	Town
6	keft	Kefetegna	discrete	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Kefetegna
7	kebele	kebele/fa	discrete	numeric-3.0	82268	0	Kebele/FA
8	ea	Ea	discrete	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Enumeration area
9	id	Identification from killil to enumeration area (hhid)	discrete	numeric-14.0	82268	0	Identification from killil to enumeration area
10	srselhh	Serial number of selected households	continuous	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Identification from killil to enumeration area
11	hhsiz	Household size	continuous	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Household size
12	serialno	Serial number for household members	continuous	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Serial number for household members
13	relation	Relationship to head of household	discrete	numeric-1.0	82268	0	Relationship to head of household
14	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	82268	0	Sex
15	age	Age	continuous	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Age
16	litracy	Read and write	discrete	numeric-1.0	82268	0	Can (name) read and write?
17	educleve	Educational status	discrete	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Educational status
18	maritals	Marital status	discrete	numeric-1.0	82268	0	Marital status
19	work	Engaged in productive work	discrete	numeric-1.0	82268	0	Was (name) engaged in productive work during the last 12 months?
20	reasnw	Reason for not working	discrete	numeric-1.0	82268	0	Reason for not working
21	employ	Type of employment	discrete	numeric-1.0	82268	0	Type of employment
22	occup	Occupation during the last 12 months	discrete	numeric-2.0	82268	0	What was the main occupation during the last 12 months?
23	pubsectr	Sector of public employment	discrete	numeric-2.0	82268	0	Sector of public employment
24	wgt	Household weight	continuous	numeric-7.2	82268	0	Household weight
25	rate	183-185(2)	continuous	numeric-4.2	82268	0	Rate

File Household consumption expenditure item data							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idst	Stratum	discrete	numeric-2.0	1205438	0	Stratum
2	killil	Killil	discrete	numeric-2.0	1205438	0	Killil
3	zone	Zone	discrete	numeric-2.0	1205438	0	Zone

File Household consumption expenditure item data							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	wereda	Wereda	discrete	numeric-2.0	1205438	0	Wereda
5	town	Town	discrete	numeric-1.0	1205438	0	Town
6	keft	Kefetegna	discrete	numeric-2.0	1205438	0	Kefetegna
7	kebele	Kebele	discrete	numeric-3.0	1205438	0	Kebele/FA
8	ea	Enumeration area	discrete	numeric-2.0	1205438	0	Enumeration area
9	id	Identification from killil to enumeration area	discrete	numeric-14.0	1205438	0	Identification from killil to enumeration area
10	srselhh	Serial number of selected households	continuous	numeric-2.0	1205438	0	Identification from killil to enumeration area
11	item_c	Items of household receipts and expenditures	discrete	numeric-5.0	1205438	0	Consumption expenditure item
12	type	Expenditure type	discrete	numeric-1.0	1205438	0	Expenditure type
13	source	Source	discrete	numeric-2.0	1205438	0	Expenditure source
14	unit	Unit of measurement	discrete	numeric-2.0	1205437	1	Unit of measurement
15	expend	Household consumption expenditure	continuous	numeric-8.0	1205438	0	Value of household consumption expenditure
16	quant	Quantity of item	continuous	numeric-7.0	1205438	0	Quantity of item
17	income	Household income	continuous	numeric-8.0	1205438	0	Value of household income
18	reciept	Household receipt	continuous	numeric-8.0	1205438	0	Value of household receipt
19	payment	Household payment	continuous	numeric-8.0	1205438	0	Household payment
20	wgt	Household weight	continuous	numeric-6.0	1205438	0	Household weight
21	cal	Calorie	continuous	numeric-3.0	1205438	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 46 variable(s)

File Household characteristics data				
#1 idst: Stratum				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question		Stratum		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		42810	52.0%	
2		526	0.6%	
3		38932	47.3%	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
#2 killil: Killil				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [Invalid=0 / 0]		
Literal question		Killil		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Tigray	5641	3616086.8	6.5%
2	Afar	3584	250445.9	0.4%
3	Amhara	14631	14849410.2	26.5%
4	Oromia	18229	21151579.3	37.8%
5	Somalie	4296	642416.0	1.1%
6	Benishangul-Gumuz	4142	658331.1	1.2%
7	SNNPR	13306	12212792.3	21.8%
12	Gambela	3364	146625.9	0.3%
13	Harari	3249	146153.0	0.3%
14	Addis Ababa	7823	2017549.9	3.6%
15	Dire Dawa	4003	262847.5	0.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
#3 zone: Zone				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question		Zone		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		18145	22.1%	
2		10863	13.2%	
3		9107	11.1%	
4		11863	14.4%	
5		7369	9.0%	
6		4306	5.2%	
7		8113	9.9%	
8		1989	2.4%	
9		3877	4.7%	

File Household characteristics data

#3 zone: Zone

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		1518	1.8%
11		1699	2.1%
12		1606	2.0%
13		347	0.4%
14		352	0.4%
15		596	0.7%
16		518	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 wereda: Wereda

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-34] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Wereda

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		11313	13.8%
2		9362	11.4%
3		10823	13.2%
4		8289	10.1%
5		7171	8.7%
6		5396	6.6%
7		1823	2.2%
8		2656	3.2%
9		2869	3.5%
10		1895	2.3%
11		1655	2.0%
12		885	1.1%
13		1331	1.6%
14		1393	1.7%
15		1114	1.4%
16		849	1.0%
17		1538	1.9%
18		714	0.9%
19		3882	4.7%
20		472	0.6%
21		640	0.8%
22		713	0.9%
23		874	1.1%
24		2106	2.6%
25		385	0.5%
26		603	0.7%
27		505	0.6%
28		745	0.9%
31		46	0.1%
34		221	0.3%

File Household characteristics data

#4 wereda: Wereda

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 town: Town

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Literal question Town

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		31764	38.6%
2		4991	6.1%
3		128	0.2%
4		1947	2.4%
5		445	0.5%
7		183	0.2%
8		42810	52.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 keft: Kefetegna

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Literal question Kefetegna

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		22117	26.9%
2		6440	7.8%
3		4615	5.6%
4		989	1.2%
5		225	0.3%
6		135	0.2%
7		291	0.4%
8		291	0.4%
9		144	0.2%
10		141	0.2%
11		217	0.3%
12		165	0.2%
13		241	0.3%
14		214	0.3%
15		163	0.2%
16		215	0.3%
17		309	0.4%
18		260	0.3%
19		324	0.4%
20		237	0.3%
21		230	0.3%
22		168	0.2%
23		336	0.4%
24		397	0.5%

File Household characteristics data

#6 keft: Kefetegna

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25		217	0.3%
26		162	0.2%
27		64	0.1%
28		151	0.2%
88		42810	52.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#7 kebele: kebele/fa

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-111] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Kebele/FA

Frequency table not shown (88 Modalities)

#8 ea: Ea

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Enumeration area

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		30957	37.6%
2		18252	22.2%
3		10357	12.6%
4		7662	9.3%
5		4514	5.5%
6		3200	3.9%
7		1891	2.3%
8		1195	1.5%
9		1006	1.2%
10		842	1.0%
11		520	0.6%
12		416	0.5%
13		148	0.2%
14		360	0.4%
15		242	0.3%
16		174	0.2%
18		82	0.1%
19		75	0.1%
21		166	0.2%
24		55	0.1%
25		80	0.1%
26		74	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 id: Identification from killil to enumeration area (hhid)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1010188801305-15010288802801] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

File Household characteristics data				
#9 id: Identification from killil to enumeration area (hhid)				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [Invalid=0 / 0]		
Literal question		Identification from killil to enumeration area		
#10 srselhh: Serial number of selected households				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question		Identification from killil to enumeration area		
#11 hhsize: Household size				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=5.943 / 5.915] [StdDev=2.495 / 2.291]		
Literal question		Household size		
#12 serialno: Serial number for household members				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-18] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question		Serial number for household members		
#13 relation: Relationship to head of household				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [Invalid=0 / 0]		
Literal question		Relationship to head of household		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Head	17334	11464682.2	20.5%
1	Spouse	10850	8056484.6	14.4%
2	Son/daughter of head and spouse	29763	22737816.9	40.6%
3	Son/daughter of head	11915	7133911.8	12.7%
4	Son/daughter of spouse	671	465513.8	0.8%
5	Mother/Father of head and spouse	897	572345.9	1.0%
6	Brother/sister of head and spouse	2383	1047941.7	1.9%
7	Other relatives	6497	3667047.8	6.6%
8	Non-relatives	1958	808493.1	1.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
#14 sex: Sex				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [Invalid=0 / 0]		
Literal question		Sex		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Male	39038	27494586.6	49.1%
2	Female	43230	28459651.3	50.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
#15 age: Age				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=22.107 / 21.205] [StdDev=17.994 / 18.318]		

File Household characteristics data				
#15 age: Age				
Literal question	Age			
#16 literacy: Read and write				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [[Invalid=0 / 0]			
Universe	For members aged 5 years and above			
Literal question	Can (name) read and write?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		11545	9387538.2	16.8%
1	Yes	30596	11954575.4	21.4%
2	No	39837	34402309.0	61.5%
9	Not Stated	290	209815.3	0.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
#17 educleve: Educational status				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [[Invalid=0 / 0]			
Universe	For members aged 5 years and above			
Literal question	Educational status			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		51343	43884768.8	78.4%
1	No Level completed	2108	1316281.9	2.4%
2	Grade 1-3	7434	4084729.1	7.3%
3	Grade 4-6	7903	3231945.9	5.8%
4	Grade 7-8	4826	1375338.8	2.5%
5	Grade 9-12	3666	851341.6	1.5%
6	Grade 12	3194	693384.5	1.2%
7	Certificate	752	207448.9	0.4%
8	Diploma Not Completed	99	26593.9	0.0%
9	Degree Not Completed	37	9936.4	0.0%
10	Diploma	442	86223.6	0.2%
11	Degree	209	38201.1	0.1%
12	Post Graduate	38	10006.1	0.0%
88		1	425.4	0.0%
99	Not Stated	216	137611.8	0.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
#18 maritals: Marital status				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [[Invalid=0 / 0]			
Universe	For members aged 19 years and above			
Literal question	Marital status			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		23624	18653381.0	33.3%

File Household characteristics data

#18 marital: Marital status

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Never married	27514	15482796.6	27.7%
2	Married	23977	17692355.5	31.6%
3	Widowed	3751	2136492.9	3.8%
4	Divorced	2530	1511618.7	2.7%
5	Separated	787	435340.4	0.8%
9	Not Stated	85	42252.8	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#19 work: Engaged in productive work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	For members aged 19 years and above
Literal question	Was (name) engaged in productive work during the last 12 months?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		23672	18687129.5	33.4%
1	Yes	30746	23287957.1	41.6%
2	No	27796	13954820.5	24.9%
3		1	1073.7	0.0%
5		1	28.5	0.0%
6		1	28.5	0.0%
9	Not Stated	51	23200.2	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#20 reasnw: Reason for not working

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	For members aged 19 years and above
Pre-question	If 'No' in column 20
Literal question	Reason for not working

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		54429	41977110.0	75.0%
1	Unemployed	2181	446019.5	0.8%
2	Student	12656	4850717.1	8.7%
3	Domestic Service	8909	6335896.8	11.3%
4	Pension	691	160034.6	0.3%
5	Too Old	1512	868814.0	1.6%
6	Handcape Disabled	1245	874975.1	1.6%
7	Other Reason	579	414761.7	0.7%
8		2	3216.4	0.0%
9	Not Stated	64	22692.6	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#21 employ: Type of employment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*
--------------------	---

File Household characteristics data				
#21 employ: Type of employment				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [[Invalid=0 / 0]			
Universe	For members aged 19 years and above			
Pre-question	If 'Yes' in column 20			
Literal question	Type of employment			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Employer	51827	32961149.3	58.9%
1	Self Employed (formal)	8717	8623734.1	15.4%
2	Self Employed (informal)	5918	2319756.2	4.1%
3	Employed private formal sector	1719	735653.6	1.3%
4	Employed private informal sector	467	162093.4	0.3%
5	Employed public sector	3122	643140.5	1.1%
6	Employed by NGO	207	48840.2	0.1%
7	Employed as Household Servant	1122	297075.0	0.5%
8	Unpaid Family worker	8912	10050895.6	18.0%
9	Others	257	111900.0	0.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
#22 occup: Occupation during the last 12 months				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [[Invalid=0 / 0]			
Universe	For members aged 19 years and above			
Pre-question	If 'Yes' in column 20			
Literal question	What was the main occupation during the last 12 months?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		51515	32680599.9	58.4%
1	Armed Forces	13	3032.1	0.0%
2		1	2836.8	0.0%
3		1	47.5	0.0%
8		1	71.7	0.0%
10		2	1956.4	0.0%
11	Legislators and Senior Officials	59	12035.9	0.0%
12	General managers and Department Heads	59	10419.9	0.0%
13	Administrator, Section and Sub Section heads	149	28851.2	0.1%
14		1	254.5	0.0%
21	Physical Science, Mathematics, Engineering Professionals	25	5822.6	0.0%
22	Life Science and Health Science Professionals	39	5756.6	0.0%
23	Teaching Professionals	94	14828.6	0.0%
24	Other Professionals	54	11977.8	0.0%
29		1	164.5	0.0%
31	Engineering and Physical Science Associate Physical Science	118	21857.9	0.0%

File Household characteristics data

#22 occup: Occupation during the last 12 months

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
32	Life Science and Health Science Associate Professionals	206	49406.4	0.1%
33	Teaching Associate Professionals	416	131341.1	0.2%
34	Other Associate Professionals	287	81413.6	0.1%
35		1	635.6	0.0%
41	Office Clerk	644	112087.2	0.2%
42	Costumer Service Clerk	163	31187.1	0.1%
51	Personal and protective Services	559	107554.9	0.2%
52	Salespersons, Models and Demonstrators	3448	1216568.2	2.2%
54		1	90.2	0.0%
57		1	309.5	0.0%
61	Market Oriented Skilled Agricultural Fishery Works	56	34807.0	0.1%
62	Skilled Subsistence Agricultural and Fishery Workers	8181	8959632.8	16.0%
66		1	1819.7	0.0%
69		1	2247.0	0.0%
71	Extraction and Building trade Workers	510	104815.1	0.2%
72	Metal Machinery and Related Works	369	78723.1	0.1%
73	Jewelers,, Potters, Handcraft Worker in Wood and Leather Pri	229	152755.6	0.3%
74	Others Crafts and related trade Works	1993	838664.8	1.5%
81	Stationary –Plant and Related Operators	19	6581.1	0.0%
82	Machine Operators and Assemblers	122	35166.8	0.1%
83	Drivers and Mobile Plant operators	318	51083.3	0.1%
91	Sales and Services Elementary Occupation	3340	879231.7	1.6%
92	Agricultural, Fishery and Related laborers	8587	10051470.2	18.0%
93	Laborers in Mining, Constriction, Manufacturing and Transpo	490	103396.0	0.2%
94		1	14.4	0.0%
97		1	2788.4	0.0%
99	Not Stated	192	119933.4	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#23 pubsectr: Sector of public employment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 / 55954237.85] [[Invalid=0 / 0]			
Universe	For members aged 19 years and above			
Pre-question	If '5' in column 22			
Literal question	Sector of public employment			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		79145	55313059.7	98.9%
1	Minisries	1140	217695.5	0.4%
2	Public Manufacturing	390	90303.1	0.2%

File Household characteristics data

#23 pubsectr: Sector of public employment

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	Public Financial Sector i.e Insurance Companies	58	10492.2	0.0%
4	Public Interprise	470	82868.8	0.1%
5	Railway,WaterRoad or Building Construction	302	49180.6	0.1%
6	Local Administration	12	3112.7	0.0%
7	Per School	325	114501.3	0.2%
8	Primary school	98	17199.0	0.0%
9	Secondary School	59	6031.0	0.0%
10	Tertiary School	119	14786.6	0.0%
11	Hospital	66	15599.3	0.0%
12	Health Center, clinic	16	3314.1	0.0%
13	Others	54	14313.0	0.0%
99	Not Stated	14	1780.8	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#24 wgt: Household weight

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4.49-5044.44] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=680.146 /-] [StdDev=871.775 /-]
Literal question	Household weight

#25 rate: 183-185(2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82268 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Rate

File Household consumption expenditure item data

#1 idst: Stratum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 99-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Stratum

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99		1205438	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 killil: Killil

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 / 73219317207] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Killil

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Tigray	78244	4167481992.0	5.7%
2	Afar	39668	268245096.0	0.4%
3	Amhara	204958	16993560017.0	23.2%
4	Oromia	291789	29612077623.0	40.4%
5	Somalie	52434	741887433.0	1.0%

File Household consumption expenditure item data

#2 killil: Killil

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	Benishangul-gumuz	70313	932424382.0	1.3%
7	Snnpr	188518	16461763864.0	22.5%
12	Gambela	45738	185981854.0	0.3%
13	Harari	57583	267546217.0	0.4%
14	Addis ababa	119275	3191218245.0	4.4%
15	Dire dawa	56918	397130484.0	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 zone: Zone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Zone

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		265670	22.0%
2		145885	12.1%
3		136763	11.3%
4		183585	15.2%
5		104806	8.7%
6		64092	5.3%
7		139080	11.5%
8		30056	2.5%
9		51659	4.3%
10		16491	1.4%
11		24047	2.0%
12		22252	1.8%
13		4124	0.3%
14		4590	0.4%
15		6813	0.6%
16		5525	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 wereda: Wereda

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-34] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Wereda

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		166797	13.8%
2		129205	10.7%
3		163047	13.5%
4		114130	9.5%
5		98263	8.2%
6		85086	7.1%
7		26039	2.2%
8		36830	3.1%

File Household consumption expenditure item data

#4 wereda: Wereda

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		38997	3.2%
10		23851	2.0%
11		23961	2.0%
12		12257	1.0%
13		17307	1.4%
14		22347	1.9%
15		15947	1.3%
16		13349	1.1%
17		22844	1.9%
18		9799	0.8%
19		68938	5.7%
20		8396	0.7%
21		11266	0.9%
22		9383	0.8%
23		15464	1.3%
24		33544	2.8%
25		5933	0.5%
26		9191	0.8%
27		7913	0.7%
28		9715	0.8%
31		766	0.1%
34		4873	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 town: Town

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Town

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		550195	45.6%
2		93005	7.7%
3		1972	0.2%
4		33649	2.8%
5		8326	0.7%
7		2380	0.2%
8		515911	42.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 keft: Kefetegna

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Kefetegna

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		393170	32.6%

File Household consumption expenditure item data

#6 keft: Kefetegna

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		115436	9.6%
3		82363	6.8%
4		15283	1.3%
5		3974	0.3%
6		2323	0.2%
7		4955	0.4%
8		4128	0.3%
9		2212	0.2%
10		2203	0.2%
11		3355	0.3%
12		2361	0.2%
13		3595	0.3%
14		3771	0.3%
15		2650	0.2%
16		3306	0.3%
17		5512	0.5%
18		3772	0.3%
19		5035	0.4%
20		4337	0.4%
21		3410	0.3%
22		2139	0.2%
23		5542	0.5%
24		5447	0.5%
25		3316	0.3%
26		2849	0.2%
27		1212	0.1%
28		1871	0.2%
88		515911	42.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#7 kebele: Kebele

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-111] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Kebele/FA

Frequency table not shown (88 Modalities)

#8 ea: Enumeration area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Enumeration area

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		417942	34.7%
2		264709	22.0%
3		162380	13.5%

File Household consumption expenditure item data

#8 ea: Enumeration area

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		117403	9.7%
5		73437	6.1%
6		52234	4.3%
7		30640	2.5%
8		18317	1.5%
9		16495	1.4%
10		14536	1.2%
11		7562	0.6%
12		6370	0.5%
13		2486	0.2%
14		5237	0.4%
15		3932	0.3%
16		2784	0.2%
18		1158	0.1%
19		1376	0.1%
21		2418	0.2%
24		1501	0.1%
25		1220	0.1%
26		1301	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 id: Identification from killil to enumeration area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1010188801305-15010288802801] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Identification from killil to enumeration area

#10 srselhh: Serial number of selected households

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Identification from killil to enumeration area

#11 item_c: Items of household receipts and expenditures

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95098] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 / 73219317207] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Consumption expenditure item

Frequency table not shown (858 Modalities)

#12 type: Expenditure type

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 / 73219317207] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Literal question	Expenditure type

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Cash	1026324	55724546882.0	76.1%
2	Kind	179114	17494770325.0	23.9%

File Household consumption expenditure item data				
#12 type: Expenditure type				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9	Not stated	0	0.0	0.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
#13 source: Source				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 / 73219317207] [Invalid=0 / 0]			
Literal question	Expenditure source			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	From own agricultural enterprise	361895	41838326122.0	57.1%
2	From household enterprise than agricultural	224964	9423461069.0	12.9%
3	Gift and remittance recived from governmental organization	6572	414994164.0	0.6%
4	Gift and remittance recived from non gov. Organization	7874	319573967.0	0.4%
5	Gift and remittance recived from household	87414	4154193451.0	5.7%
6	Gift and remittance recived from abroad	6122	114100963.0	0.2%
7	Collected free	52079	3784683088.0	5.2%
8	Wages salaries, bounes,overtrime and allowance	359122	8456022606.0	11.5%
9	Pension or other social security benefits	24427	521357537.0	0.7%
10	From saving (bank, saving account)	6567	302182385.0	0.4%
11	Interest and loyalties	240	15705475.0	0.0%
12	Deividends(profits share)	418	19489963.0	0.0%
13	Income from house rent	33378	1510542693.0	2.1%
14	Income from rent other than house	2016	122252377.0	0.2%
15	From sale of household fixed asset and personel goods	1553	69406817.0	0.1%
16	Other current transfer	30797	2153024530.0	2.9%
99	Not stated	0	0.0	0.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
#14 unit: Unit of measurement				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205437 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]			
Literal question	Unit of measurement			
<i>Frequency table not shown (52 Modalities)</i>				
#15 expend: Household consumption expenditure				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20068500] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 / 73219317207] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=9488.84 / 8457.395] [StdDev=49736.739 / 34053.647]			
Literal question	Value of household consumption expenditure			
#16 quant: Quantity of item				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7380000] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 / 73219317207] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=17842.772 / 23327.718] [StdDev=80868.017 / 109948.967]			

File Household consumption expenditure item data

#16 quant: Quantity of item

Literal question	Quantity of item
-------------------------	------------------

#17 income: Household income

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-21554988] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 / 73219317207] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=6493.285 / 6391.741] [StdDev=73355.24 / 45457.113]
---------------------------	--

Literal question	Value of household income
-------------------------	---------------------------

#18 receipt: Household receipt

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-21554988] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 / 73219317207] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=7145.895 / 6872.165] [StdDev=79284.391 / 47747.346]
---------------------------	---

Literal question	Value of household receipt
-------------------------	----------------------------

#19 payment: Household payment

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-60036000] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 / 73219317207] [Invalid=0 / 0] [Mean=11354.3 / 9823.542] [StdDev=105478.751 / 63761.908]
---------------------------	---

Literal question	Household payment
-------------------------	-------------------

#20 wgt: Household weight

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 449-504444] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=60740.84 /-] [StdDev=83422.59 /-]
---------------------------	---

Literal question	Household weight
-------------------------	------------------

#21 cal: Calorie

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-835] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205438 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=124.782 /-] [StdDev=180.189 /-]
---------------------------	---

Documentation

Reports and analytical documents	26
Study Documentation	26
Report on The 1999-2000 Household Income Consumption and Expenditure Survey	26
Report on The 1999-2000 Household Income Consumption and Expenditure Survey	26
Questionnaires	26
Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Questionnaire	26
Technical documents	26
Form for Requesting Access to Raw Data	26
Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Enumerator Manual	26
Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Items Code Book	26
Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Editing and Coding Manual	27
Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Code Book for Urban Sample EAs	27
Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Code Book for Rural Sample EAs	27

Reports and analytical documents

Study Documentation, Central Statistical Agency, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Reports \HICE_1999_2000_Metadata.pdf"

Report on The 1999-2000 Household Income Consumption and Expenditure Survey, February 2001, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Reports\Report on Household Income Consumption and Expenditure Survey for the year 1999 - 2000.pdf"

Description

In this report, the principal results of the survey and major tabular reports are presented at country, rural and urban levels. In addition summary tables of basic characteristics (i.e., distribution of households by domestic expenditure group, average value of expenditure by major expenditure items, average calories intake per person per day by major food and drink groups and percentage distribution of receipts by source for regions, rural and urban areas as well as for selected urban centers are presented.

Report on The 1999-2000 Household Income Consumption and Expenditure Survey, March 2001, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Reports\report.pdf"

Questionnaires

Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Questionnaire, Central Statistical Agency, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Questionnaires\HICE_2000_questionnaire.pdf"

Technical documents

Form for Requesting Access to Raw Data, Central Statistical Agency, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc \Technical\CSA_data_request_form.pdf"

Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Enumerator Manual, Central Statistical Agency, Ethiopia [eth], Amharic [amh], "Doc\Technical\HBSMAN1-2000.pdf"

Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Items Code Book, Central Statistical Agency, April 1999, Ethiopia [eth], Amharic [amh], "Doc\Technical\HICES_Code_Book_Expenditure_Items.pdf"

Description

This document contains consumption expenditure item code book.

Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Editing and Coding Manual, Central Statistical Agency, July 1999, Ethiopia [eth], Amharic [amh], "Doc\Technical\Manual_Editing_Coding_Amharic.pdf"

Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Code Book for Urban Sample EAs, Central Statistical Agency, August 1999, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Technical\lea_code_book_urban.pdf"

Household Income, Consumption and Expenditure Survey 1999-2000 - Code Book for Rural Sample EAs, Central Statistical Agency, September 1998, Ethiopia [eth], English [eng], "Doc\Technical\lea_code_book_rural.pdf"